

LOSSY ASYMPTOTIC EQUIPARTITION PROPERTY FOR WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS

BY KWABENA DOKU-AMPONSAH

University of Ghana

Abstract. This article extends the Generalized Asymptotic Equipartition Property of Networked Data Structures to cover the Wireless Sensor Network modelled as coloured geometric random graph (CGRG). The main techniques used to prove this result remains large deviation principles for properly defined empirical measures on coloured geometric random graphs. Application of the result to some case study from the field of environmental science is discussed as a motivation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Field data on environmental pollution is essential to decision making, i.e. monitoring air quality at key industrial sites or highways close to residential areas, looking for key contaminating agents from the exhausts of public buses, monitoring the cleanliness in lakes, particularly those used as sources of drinking water and many more. To integrate these and more into a central system for pollution monitoring and control cheaply, a Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) is required. See [SB08]. The lossy Asymptotic Equipartition property for the WSN will play crucial role in the coding the huge data set generated. See, example [CT91].

The aim of this article is to extend the Lossy AEP for Networked Data Structures modelled as Coloured Random Graph, see [DA16a, Theorem 2.1], to cover the WSN. To be specific we model the WSN as a CGRG and use some of the large deviation techniques developed in [DA16b] to prove a strong law of large numbers (SLLN), see Lemma 3.3, for the random network. Using the SLLN and the techniques deployed in [DK02] we prove the Lossy AEP for the WSN.

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the main result of the paper and an application to some data from environmental science. See, Theorem 2.1 in Subsection 2.1 and the application in Subsection 2.2. Section 3 gives the proof of the main result; starting with the LDPs (Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2) in Subsection 3.1, followed by statement and proof of a strong law of large numbers, see Lemma 3.3 and ending with derivation of the main results from the SLLN in subsection 3.2.

Mathematics Subject Classification : 94A15, 94A24, 60F10, 05C80

Keywords: Information theory, rate-distortion theory, exponential equivalent measures entropy, Wireless Sensor Networks.

Address: Statistics Department, University of Ghana, Box LG 115, Legon, Ghana. *E-mail:* kdoku@ug.edu.gh.

2. GENERALIZED AEP FOR CGRG PROCESS

2.1 Main Result

We consider two Coloured Geometric Random Graph (CGRG) processes $X^{[z]} = \{(X(z_1), X(z_2)) : z_i z_j \in E, i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n, i \neq j\}$ and $Y^{[z]} = \{(Y(z_i), Y(z_j)) : z_i z_j \in E, i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n, i \neq j\}$ which take values in $G_{[z]} = G(\mathcal{X}, z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n)$ and $\hat{G}_{[z]} = \hat{G}(\mathcal{X}, z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n)$, resp., the spaces of finite graphs on $\mathcal{X}$ and $z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n \in [0, 1]^d$. We equip $G_{[z]}$, $\hat{G}_{[z]}$ with their Borel σ -fields $\mathcal{F}_x$ and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_x$. Let $\mathbb{P}_x$ and $\mathbb{P}_y$ denote the probability measures of the entire processes X and Y . By $\mathbb{P}_x^{(\pi\omega)}$ and $\mathbb{P}_y^{(\pi\omega)}$ we denote the coloured geometric random graphs $X^{[z]}$ and $Y^{[z]}$ conditioned to have empirical colour measure π and empirical pair measure ω . See, example [DA06]. We always assume that $X^{[z]}$ and $Y^{[z]}$ are independent of each other.

By $\mathcal{X}$ we denote a finite alphabet and denote by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X})$ the space of counting measure on $\mathcal{X}$ equipped with the discrete topology. By $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ we denote the space of probability measures on $\mathcal{X}$ equipped with the weak topology and $\mathcal{M}_*(\mathcal{X})$ the space of finite measures on $\mathcal{X}$ equipped with the weak topology.

Throughout the rest of the article we will assume that $X^{[z]}$ and $Y^{[z]}$ are CGRG processes, See [Pe98]. For $n \geq 1$, let $P_x^{(n)}$ denote the marginal distribution of $X^{[z]}$ on $[n] = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ taking with respect to $\mathbb{P}_x^{(\pi\omega)}$ and $Q_y^{(n)}$ denote the marginal distribution $Y^{[z]}$ on $[n] = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}_y^{(\pi\omega)}$.

Let $\rho : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be an arbitrary non-negative function and define a sequence of single-letter distortion measures $\rho^{(n)} : G_{[z]} \times \hat{G}_{[z]} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $n \geq 1$ by

$$\rho^{(n)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in [n]} \rho(\mathcal{B}_x(z_i), \mathcal{B}_y(z_i)),$$

where $\mathcal{B}_x(z_i) = (x(z_i), L_x(z_i))$ and $\mathcal{B}_y(z_i) = (y(z_i), L_y(z_i))$. Given $\alpha \geq 0$ and $x \in G_{[z]}$, we denote the distortion-ball of radius α by

$$B(x, \alpha) = \{y \in \hat{G}_{[z]} : \rho^{(n)}(x, y) \leq \alpha\}.$$

For $(\pi, \omega) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$, we write

$$p_{\pi\omega}(a, l) = \pi(a) \prod_{b \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{e^{-\omega(a,b)/\pi(a)} [\omega(a,b)/\pi(a)]^{\ell(b)}}{\ell(b)!}, \text{ for } \ell \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X})$$

and define the rate function $I_1 : \mathcal{M}[(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X}))^2] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$I_1(\nu) = \begin{cases} H(\nu \| p_{\pi\omega} \otimes p_{\pi\omega}), & \text{if } \nu \text{ is consistent and } \nu_{1,1} = \nu_{1,2} = \pi, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$p_{\pi\omega} \otimes p_{\pi\omega}((a_x, a_y), (l_x, l_y)) = p_{\pi\omega}(a_x, l_x) p_{\pi\omega}(a_y, l_y).$$

By $x \mathcal{D} p$ we mean x has distribution p . For $(\pi, \omega) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X})$, we write

$$\alpha_{av}(\pi, \omega) = \langle \log \langle e^{t\rho(\mathcal{B}_X, \mathcal{B}_Y)}, p_{\pi\omega} \rangle, p_{\pi\omega} \rangle.$$

Assume

$$\alpha_{\min}^{(n)}(\pi, \omega) = \mathbb{E}_{P_x^{(n)}} [\text{essinf}_{Y \sim Q_y^{(n)}} \rho^{(n)}(X, Y)] \rightarrow \alpha_{\min}(\sigma, \pi).$$

For $n > 1$, we write

$$R_n(P_n^{(x)}, Q_n^{(y)}, \alpha) := \inf_{V_n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} H(V_n \parallel P_n^{(x)} \times Q_n^{(y)}) : V_n \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G} \times \hat{\mathcal{G}}) \right\}$$

and

$$\alpha_{\min}^{\infty}(\pi, \omega) := \inf \left\{ \alpha \geq 0 : \sup_{n \geq 1} R_n(P_x^{(n)}, Q_y^{(n)}, \alpha) < \infty \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.1 (ii) below provides a Lossy AEP for WSN data structures.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $X^{[z]}$ and $Y^{[z]}$ are coloured geometric random graph. Assume ρ are bounded function. Then,*

- (i) *with $\mathbb{P}^{(x)}$ -probability 1, conditional on the event $\{\Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,1}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,2}) = (\pi, \omega)\}$ the random variables $\{\rho^{(n)}(x, Y)\}$ satisfy an LDP with deterministic, convex rate-function*

$$I_{\rho}(z) := \inf_{\nu} \left\{ I_1(\nu) : \langle \rho, \nu \rangle = z \right\}.$$

- (ii) *for all $\alpha \in (\alpha_{\min}(\pi, \omega), \alpha_{av}(\pi, \omega))$, except possibly at $\alpha = \alpha_{\min}^{\infty}(\pi, \omega)$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log Q_x^{(n)}(B(X, \alpha)) = R(\mathbb{P}_x^{(\pi\omega)}, \mathbb{P}_y^{(\pi\omega)}, \alpha) \text{ almost surely,} \quad (2.2)$$

where $R(p, q, \alpha) = \inf_{\nu} H(\nu \parallel p \times q)$.

2.2 Application

Wireless Sensor Network for Monitoring Water Quality from a Lake. Let consider a WSN (to monitor the cleanliness in lakes, particularly those used as sources of drinking water) consisting of sensors capable of carrying out some processing, gathering sensory information and communicating with other connected nodes in the network modelled as coloured geometric random graph on n location, say $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$. By *SG* we denote sensors capable of carrying out some processing, gathering sensory information while communicating with other sensors and *SI* sensors gathering sensory information while communicating with other sensors. Suppose the locations are $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in [0, 1]^d$ partition into $n\pi_n(SG)$ block of *SG* and $n\pi_n(SI)$ block of *SI*, and $n\|\omega_n^{\Delta(d)}\|$ number of communication links divided into $n\omega_n^{\Delta(d)}(SG, SI)$, $n\omega_n^{\Delta(d)}(SI, SG)$, $n\omega_n^{\Delta(d)}(SG, SG)/2$, $n\omega_n^{\Delta(d)}(SI, SI)/2$ different interactions, respectively, for $\Delta(d)$ a function which depends on the connectivity radius of the WSN. Assume π_n converges π and $\omega_n^{\Delta(d)}$ converges $\omega^{\Delta(d)}$. If we take $\rho(s, r) = (s - r)^2$ then, by Theorem 2.1 we have the rate-distortion of

$$R(P, Q, \alpha) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha \geq 2\omega^{\Delta(d)}(SG, SI) + \omega^{\Delta(d)}(SG, SG) + \omega^{\Delta(d)}(SI, SI) + 2\omega^{\Delta(d)}(SI, SG). \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\omega^{\Delta(d)}(a, b) = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{(d+2)}{2})} \lambda_{[d]}(a, b) \pi(a) \pi(b)$. See, [DA16a] for the relationship between the connectivity radius and $\lambda_{[d]}$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1.

3.1 LDPs

Recall from [DA16b] that $X = \{(X(u), X(v)) : uv \in E\}$ and $Y = \{(Y(u), Y(v)) : uv \in E\}$ are CRG processes with values from $G = G(\mathcal{X})$ and $\hat{G} = \hat{G}(\mathcal{X})$, resp., the spaces of finite graphs on $\mathcal{X}$.

We define the process-level empirical measure $\mathcal{L}_n$ induced by X and Y on $G \times \hat{G}$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\beta_x, \beta_y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v \in [n]} \delta_{(\mathcal{B}_X(v), \mathcal{B}_Y(v))}(\beta_x, \beta_y), \text{ for } (\beta_x, \beta_y) \in \mathcal{M}[(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X}))^2],$$

and define the process-level empirical measure $\mathcal{L}_{n,[z]}$ induced by $X^{[z]}$ and $Y^{[z]}$ on $G_{[z]} \times \hat{G}_{[z]}$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_{n,[z]}(\beta_x(z), \beta_y(z)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v \in [n]} \delta_{(\mathcal{B}_X(z_v), \mathcal{B}_Y(z_v))}(\beta_x(z), \beta_y(z)), \text{ for } (\beta_x(z), \beta_y(z)) \in \mathcal{M}[(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X}))^2].$$

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $(X^{[z]}, Y^{[z]})$ are CGRG on the d - dimensional Torus and (X, Y) are CRG. Then, conditional on the event $\{\Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,[z],1}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,[z],2}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,1}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,2}) = (\pi, \omega)\}$ the law of $\mathcal{L}_{n,[z]}$ is exponentially equivalent to the law of $\mathcal{L}_n$*

Proof. We denote by $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ the random allocation process and notice from [DA16a, Lemma 3.1] and [DA14, Lemma 0.4] that conditional on $\{\Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,[z],1}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,[z],2}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,1}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,2}) = (\pi, \omega)\}$ the law of $(X^{[z]}, Y^{[z]})$ is exponentially equivalent to the law of $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ and the law of $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ is exponentially equivalent to the law of (X, Y) . Therefore, conditional on $\{\Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,[z],1}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,[z],2}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,1}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,2}) = (\pi, \omega)\}$ we have $(X^{[z]}, Y^{[z]})$ exponentially equivalent to (X, Y) . □

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $(X^{[z]}, Y^{[z]})$ are coloured geometric random graph on the d - dimensional Torus and (X, Y) are coloured random graph. Then, conditional on the event $\{\Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,[z],1}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,[z],2}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,1}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,2}) = (\pi, \omega)\}$ the law of $\mathcal{L}_{n,[z]}$ obeys a process level LDP with good rate function I_1*

The proof of this Lemma 3.2 which follows from [DA16b, Theorem] and [DZ98, Theorem 4.2.13], is omitted from the paper.

3.2 Derivation of the AEP

We write $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}[(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X}))^2]$ and define the set $\mathcal{C}^\varepsilon$ by

$$\mathcal{C}_{\pi\omega}^\varepsilon = \left\{ \nu \in \mathcal{M} : \sup_{\beta_x, \beta_y \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X})} |\nu(\beta_x, \beta_y) - p_{\pi\omega} \otimes p_{\pi\omega}(\beta_x, \beta_y)| \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *. Suppose the sequence of measures (π_n, ω_n) converges to the pair of measures (π, ω) . For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{(\pi_n, \omega_n)}(\mathcal{C}_{\pi\omega}^\varepsilon) = 0$.*

Observe that $\mathcal{C}_{\pi\omega}^\varepsilon$ defined above is a closed subset of $\mathcal{M}$ and so by Lemma 3.2 we have that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P}_{(\pi_n, \omega_n)}(\mathcal{C}_{\pi\omega}^\varepsilon) \leq - \inf_{\nu \in \mathcal{C}^\varepsilon} I_1(\nu). \quad (3.1)$$

We use proof by contradiction to show that the right hand side of (3.1) is negative. Suppose that there exists sequence ν_n in $\mathcal{C}_{\pi\omega}^\varepsilon$ such that $I_1(\nu_n) \downarrow 0$. Then, there is a limit point $\nu \in F_1$ with $I(\nu) = 0$. Note I is a good rate function and its level sets are compact, and the mapping $\nu \mapsto I(\nu)$ lower

semi-continuity. Now $I_1(\nu) = 0$ implies $\nu(\beta_x, \beta_y) = p_{\pi\omega} \otimes p_{\pi\omega}(\beta_x, \beta_y)$, for all $\beta_x, \beta_y \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{X})$ which contradicts $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_{\pi\omega}^\varepsilon$.

(i) Notice $\rho^{(n)}(X^{[z]}, Y^{[z]}) = \langle \rho, \mathcal{L}_{n,[z]} \rangle$ and if Γ is open (closed) subset of $\mathcal{M}$ then

$$\Gamma_\rho := \{\nu : \langle \rho, \nu \rangle \in \Gamma\}$$

is also open (closed) set since ρ is bounded function.

$$\begin{aligned} - \inf_{t \in I_n(\Gamma)} I_\rho(t) &= - \inf_{\nu \in \ln(\Gamma_\rho)} I_1(\nu) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P} \left\{ \rho^{(n)}(X^{[z]}, Y^{[z]}) \in \Gamma \mid X^{[z]} = x, \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,[z],1}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,[z],2}) = (\pi_n, \omega_n) \right\} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P} \left\{ \rho^{(n)}(X^{[z]}, Y^{[z]}) \in \Gamma \mid X^{[z]} = x, \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,1}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,2}) = (\pi_n, \omega_n) \right\} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P} \left\{ \rho^{(n)}(X^{[z]}, Y^{[z]}) \in \Gamma \mid X^{[z]} = x, \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,[z],1}) = \Phi(\mathcal{L}_{n,[z],2}) = (\pi_n, \omega_n) \right\} \\ &\leq - \inf_{\nu \in cl(\Gamma_\rho)} I_1(\nu) = - \inf_{t \in cl(\Gamma)} I_\rho(t). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Observe that ρ are bounded, therefore by Varadhan's Lemma and convex duality, we have

$$R(\mathbb{P}_x^{\pi\omega}, \mathbb{P}_y^{\pi\omega}, \alpha) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} [t\alpha - \Lambda_\infty(t)] = \Lambda_\infty^*(\alpha)$$

where

$$\Lambda_\infty(t) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int e^{nt \langle \rho, \mathcal{L}_n \rangle} dQ_y^{(n)}(y)$$

exists for $\mathbb{P}$ almost everywhere x . Using bounded convergence, we can show that

$$\Lambda_\infty(t) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \left[\log \int e^{nt \langle \rho, \mathcal{L}_{n,[z]} \rangle} dQ_y^{(n)}(y) \right] dP_x^{(n)}(x).$$

Using Lemma 3.3, by boundedness of ρ we have that

$$\frac{1}{n} \Lambda_n(nt) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \log \mathbb{E}_{Q_y^{(n)}}(e^{t\rho(\mathcal{B}_x(j), \mathcal{B}_y(j))}) \rightarrow \langle \log \langle e^{t\rho(\mathcal{B}_{X^{[z]}}, \mathcal{B}_{Y^{[z]})}, p_{\pi\omega} \rangle, p_{\pi\omega} \rangle = \alpha_{av}(\pi, \omega).$$

Also let

$$\alpha_{min}^{(n)}(\pi, \omega) := \lim_{t \downarrow -\infty} \frac{\Lambda_n(t)}{t}$$

so that $\Lambda_n^*(\alpha) = \infty$ for $\alpha < \alpha_{min}^{(n)}(\pi, \omega)$, while $\Lambda_n^*(\alpha) < \infty$ for $\alpha > \alpha_{min}^{(n)}(\pi, \omega)$. Observe that for $n < \infty$ we have $\alpha_{min}^{(n)}(\pi, \omega) = \mathbb{E}_{P_x^{(n)}}[\text{essinf}_{Y \sim Q_y^{(n)}} \rho^{(n)}(X, Y)]$, which converges to $\alpha_{min}(\pi, \omega)$. Applying similar arguments as [DK02, Proposition 2] we obtain

$$R_n(P_x^{(n)}, Q_y^{(n)}, \alpha) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (t\alpha - \Lambda_n(t)) := \Lambda_n^*(\alpha)$$

Now we observe from [DK02, Page 41] that the converge of $\Lambda_n^*(\cdot) \rightarrow \Lambda_\infty^*(\cdot)$ is uniform on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, Λ_n is convex, continuous functions converging informally to Λ_∞ and hence we can invoke [Sce48, Theorem 5] to obtain

$$\Lambda_n^*(\alpha) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{|\hat{\alpha} - \alpha| < \delta} \Lambda_n^*(\hat{\alpha}).$$

Applying similar arguments as [DK02, Page 41] in the lines after equation (64) we have (2.3) which completes the proof.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares that he has no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement

This extension has been mentioned in the author's PhD Thesis at University of Bath.

REFERENCE

- [CT91] T.M. COVER and J.A. THOMAS. Elements of Information Theory. Wiley Series in Telecommunications, (1991).
- [DA06] K. DOKU-AMPONSAH. Large deviations and basic information theory for hierarchical and networked data structures. PhD Thesis, Bath (2006).
- [DA10] K. DOKU-AMPONSAH. Asymptotic equipartition properties for hierarchical and networked structures. ESAIM: PS 16 (2012): 114-138.DOI: 10.1051/ps/2010016.
- [DA14] K. DOKU-AMPONSAH. Exponential Approximation, Method of types for Empirical Neighbourhood Measures of Random graphs by Random Allocation. Int. Journal of Statistics and Probability, Vol 3, No.2,110-120 (2014).
- [DA16a] K. DOKU-AMPONSAH. Lossy Asymptotic Equipartition Property for Networked Data Structures. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1609.05252.pdf>
- [DA16b] K. DOKU-AMPONSAH. Joint large deviation result for empirical measures of the coloured random geometric graphs. *SpringerPlus*.2016, 5:1140; Vol. 4, No. 1 (2015),pp. 87-93
- [DK02] A. DEMBO and I. KONTOYIANNIS. Source Coding, Large deviations and Approximate Pattern. Invited paper in IEEE Transaction on information Theory, 48(6):1590-1615, June (2002).
- [DZ98] A. DEMBO and O. ZEITOUNI. Large deviations techniques and applications. Springer, New York, (1998).
- [Pe98] D.B. PENMAN. Random graphs with correlation structure. PhD Thesis, Sheffield 1998.
- [Sce48] C.E. SHANNON.(1948) A Mathematical Theory of Communication. Bell System Tech. J., 27:379-423,623-656.
- [SB08] P. STANLEY-MARBELL,T. BASTEN,J. ROUSSELOT, R. S. OLIVE,H. KARL,M. GEILEN,R. Hoes,GERHARD FOHLER and J-D. DECOTIGNIE System Models in Wireless Sensor Network. ES Reports ISSN:1574-9517, ESR-2008-06, 1 May 2008